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Justification: The scientific rationale for antibiotic prophylaxis is to inhibit or eliminate contaminating microorganisms that gain access to the surgical site during the procedure, which reduces the probability of an established infection. Thus, the goal of administering preoperative antibiotics is to allow for adequate tissue (blood, soft tissue, and bone) concentrations by the time of incision. These antibiotics should exceed the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the organisms likely to be encountered for the duration of the operation. This depends on the antibiotic used. There are a number of studies which validate the importance of the preoperative dose of antibiotics in decreasing periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) and surgical site infection (SSI) in total joint arthroplasty (TJA). However, there are conflicting opinions as to the optimal timing of this dose. Some studies suggest that within 2 hours of incision is best, while others recommend scheduling the dose as close to surgical incision as possible. There are several institutional guidelines which support a one hour preoperative dose of antibiotics as a Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP) measure. In addition to these guidelines, it is critically important to have surveillance measures in place to document compliance with these protocols.

Question 1: What is the optimal timing of the preoperative dose of antibiotics?

Consensus: The preoperative dose of antibiotics should be administered within one hour of surgical incision; this can be extended to two hours for vancomycin and fluoroquinolones. Furthermore, surveillance measures are critical in ensuring clinician compliance with this objective.

Delegate Vote: Agree: 97%, Disagree: 2%, Abstain: 1% (Strong Consensus)

Timing < 2hrs
The seminal article on this subject studied the timing of administration of prophylactic antibiotics and the risk of surgical wound infections in clean and clean-contaminated cases at a large community hospital. In a study of 2,847 patients, 313 (11%) received TJA. The authors found that the rate of infection was lowest for patients who received an antibiotic from 0 to 2 hours before the incision. Specifically, of the 1,708 patients who received prophylactic antibiotics during this time frame, only 10 (0.6%) subsequently developed SSI compared to 14 (3.8%) of 369 patients who received antibiotics 2 to 24 hours preoperatively, 4 (1.4%) of 282 patients who received antibiotics within 3 hours after incision, and 16 (3.3%) of 488 patients who received antibiotics 3 to 24 hours following incision. However, this study was conducted in 1985 to 1986, when there...
was considerable variation in timing of administration of the prophylactic antibiotic, and only 35% of patients received their dose within the contemporary standard of one hour prior to incision. Furthermore, the study did not find a significant difference in SSI rates when antibiotics were administered within 1 to 2 hours prior to incision compared with antibiotics administered 0 to 3 hours postoperatively.

Timing <1 hr

The leadership of the Medicare National Surgical Infection Prevention Projected hosted the Surgical Infection Prevention Guideline Writers Workgroup (SIPGWW) meeting and utilized the available literature to draft a consensus paper. The position of the SIPGWW is that the infusion of the first antimicrobial dose should begin within 60 minutes before incision.3,6

Galandiuk et al. combined the results of two prospective randomized controlled trials (RCT) that compared antibiotic prophylaxis (either single-dose piperacillin with multi-dose cefoxitin) in elective surgical procedures of the gastrointestinal tract. The authors found that among other negative predictors, administration of an antibiotic for longer than 60 minutes preoperatively was associated with a higher rate of infectious complications.7

In a large, retrospective cohort study using National Veterans Affairs data on prophylactic antibiotics of 32,459 surgical procedures from 2005-2009, Hawn et al. found that higher SSI rates were observed for antibiotic administration more than 60 minutes prior to incision (unadjusted odds ratio (OR) 1.34, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.08-1.66) compared with procedures in which antibiotics were administered within one hour of incision. However, in generalized additive models adjusted for patient, procedure, and antibiotic variables, no significant association was seen between prophylactic antibiotic timing and SSI.8

Timing 30-60 minutes

In a prospective cohort study at a single academic hospital analyzing the incidence of SSI by the timing of antimicrobial prophylaxis in a consecutive series of 3,836 surgical procedures, Weber et al. determined that administration of single-shot prophylactic cefuroxime is more effective when given 30-59 minutes before incision than administration during the last 30 minutes. The overall SSI rate for this mixed cohort of general, vascular, and orthopaedic surgeries was 4.7% (180), and antimicrobial prophylaxis was administered within the final 30 minutes in 59% of all procedures. Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed a significant increase in the odds of SSI when antimicrobial prophylaxis was administered fewer than 30 minutes (crude OR 2.01; adjusted OR 1.95, 95% CI, 1.4-2.8; p<0.001) and 60 to 120 minutes (crude OR 1.75; adjusted OR 1.74; 95% CI 1.0-2.9, p=0.035) when compared with the reference interval of 30 to 59 minutes before incision.9

Timing <30 minutes

In a large, prospective, multicenter observational study examining the relationship between antibiotic timing and SSI risk, Steinberg et al. determined that SSI risk increased incrementally as the interval of time between antibiotic infusion and creation of the incision increased. The authors analyzed the antimicrobial prophylaxis of 4,472 randomly selected cardiac, hip or knee arthroplasty, and hysterectomy cases from 29 contributing hospitals, and ascertained SSI through the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance system methodology. When antibiotics requiring long infusion times (eg vancomycin) were excluded, the infection risk following administration of antibiotics within 30 minutes was 1.6% compared with 2.4% associated with administration of antibiotic between 31 to 60 minutes prior to surgery (OR 1.74; 95% CI 0.98-3.04).10

In another recent multicenter, observational study from the Netherlands assessing risk factors for postoperative infections in 1,922 total hip arthroplasty (THA) cases, the authors found a similar pattern with a decreased rate of infection in those who received prophylaxis within 30 minutes prior to incision, although it did not reach statistical significance. These authors collected data about SSI and potential risks factors related to prophylaxis, the patient, and procedure from 11 hospitals that participated in the Surgical Prophylaxis and Surveillance Intervention project and used multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify those variables that were predictive of SSI. Although there was a non-significant trend for the lowest SSI rate in those patients who received prophylaxis 30 minutes before surgery, the highest odds ratios for SSI were found in patients who received prophylaxis after incision (2.8, 95% CI 0.9-8.6, p=0.07) and prolonged duration of surgery was the only statistically significant risk factor for SSI following THA.

Timing with Tourniquet Use

In an RCT of 22 patients in which cefuroxime prophylaxis was administered at various intervals (5, 10, 15, or 20 minutes) before inflation of the tourniquet for total knee arthroplasty (TKA), Johnson et al. measured antibiotic levels of bone and subcutaneous fat throughout the operation. They found that an interval of 10 minutes prior to tourniquet inflation was necessary to obtain adequate prophylaxis. While the patients obtained adequate levels in bone at 5 minutes, an interval of 10 minutes or more was required for patients to have therapeutic levels in the subcutaneous fat.11

In another similar RCT, 24 patients undergoing TKA were randomized to receive cefazolin 1, 2, or 5 minutes before tourniquet inflation. Serum, soft tissue, and bone samples were measured for adequate cefazolin concentration (defined as 4xMIC 90 (MIC 90=1 microgram/ml). The median percentage of cefazolin penetration into soft tissue and bone for the 5, 2, and 1 minute groups was 14.5% and 4.6%, 6.7% and 3.0%, and 5.9% and 4.6% respectively. The
authors also noted that the percentage of patients achieving the ratio of 4xMIC 90 for soft tissue and bone was highest in the 5 minute group compared with either the 2 or 1 minute groups.13

In another prospective study by Soriano et al., 908 patients undergoing TKA were randomized to receive either 1.5 g of cefuroxime 30 minutes before inflation of tourniquet and placebo 10 minutes before release of tourniquet (standard group) or placebo 30 minutes before inflation of tourniquet and 1.5 g cefuroxime 10 minutes before release of tourniquet. There was no difference among the patients with regard to various risk factors for SSI/PJI. The authors did not find a significant difference in the incidence of infection at 3.6% for the standard group and 2.6% for the control group at 12 months. The authors concluded that administration of antibiotics just prior to release of tourniquet was not inferior to a standard prophylactic regimen.15

Surveillance Measures
In a study evaluating the impact of a new national project meant to reduce infections in arthroplasty surgery in Sweden, Dahl et al. found that only 57% of patients received preoperative antibiotics during the recommended time frame. In 2009, following the introduction of the World Health Organization surgical checklist and a new Swedish Knee Arthroplasty Register (SKAR) reporting form, which included the time for administration of preoperative antibiotics, the number of patients receiving appropriately-timed doses of preoperative antibiotics increased to 69% in 2009 and 79% in 2010.14

Question 2: Is there an optimal antibiotic that should be administered for routine perioperative surgical prophylaxis?

Consensus: A first or second generation cephalosporin (cefazolin or cefuroxime) should be administered for routine perioperative surgical prophylaxis. Isoxazolyl penicillin is used as an appropriate alternative.

Delegate Vote: Agree: 89%, Disagree: 8%, Abstain: 3% (Strong Consensus)

Justification: A first or second generation cephalosporin should be administered for routine perioperative surgical prophylaxis because of its broad spectrum of action, cost-effectiveness, and the need to preserve newer and more expensive therapies for drug-resistant microorganisms and emerging pathogens. These antibiotics cover gram-positive organisms and clinically important aerobic gram-negative bacilli and anaerobic gram positive organisms.6 Additionally, they have excellent distribution profiles in bone, synovium, muscle, and hematomas.15 Many studies have documented that minimum bactericidal concentrations for most non methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) organisms are achieved rapidly in these tissues-ie within minutes after their administration.16,17 The optimal prophylactic antibiotic should be bactericidal (penicillin, cephalosporin, vancomycin, or aminoglycosides), not simply bacteriostatic (clindamycin, which is a lincosamide). The agent should also have a half-life that covers the decisive interval (the first 2 hours after incision or contamination) with therapeutic concentrations from time of incision to wound closure. Failure to maintain tissue concentrations above the MIC increases the risk of wound infection.23 In Scandinavia and elsewhere, isoxazolyl penicillin, such as cloxacillin, flucloxacillin, nafcillin, or oxacillin, is used as an appropriate alternative. Some institutions administer carbapenems (namely imipenem/cilastin and meropenem) to patients with penicillin allergy, as they felt that the potential for cross-reactivity between carbapenems and penicillin is less than traditionally believed.19

In a multicenter, placebo RCT, Hill et al. convincingly demonstrated the efficacy of cefazolin for antimicrobial prophylaxis in reducing the risk of PJI. In 2,137 THA patients randomized to either 5 days of cefazolin or placebo antibiotic prophylaxis reduced the incidence of deep infection from 3.3% to 0.9% (p<0.01).20 Tyllianakis et al. performed an RCT comparing cefuroxime to two specific antistaphylococcal agents (fusidic acid and vancomycin) for prophylaxis in THA and TKA in an institution where MRSA and methicillin-resistant S. epidermidis (MRSE) prevalence exceeded 25% of orthopaedic infections. In 435 patients (260 hips and 175 knees) followed for a minimum of 2 years, the authors found no statistically significant difference between the treatment groups for either THA or TKA, although the authors concede that the power to detect meaningful statistical differences between the groups was low and it was therefore difficult to provide any definitive conclusions.21

The efficacy of one day of cefuroxime vs 3 days of cefazolin on postoperative wound infections was studied by Mauerhan et al. in a double-blind, multicenter trial of 1,354 patients undergoing hip and knee arthroplasty. The authors found no statistically significant difference between the two regimens. For the TKA patients, the rate of PJI was 0.6% (1/178) for those receiving cefuroxime vs 1.4% (3/207) for those receiving cefazolin. For the THA patients, the rate of PJI was 0.5% (1/187) for those receiving cefuroxime as compared to 1.2% (2/168) for those receiving cefazolin.22

In a study investigating the bacterial colonization and resistance patterns of a cohort of patients undergoing primary joint arthroplasty in Sweden, Stefansdottir et al. noted that in Scandinavia, isoxazolylpenicillin derivative cloxacillin is the most commonly used prophylactic antibiotic. Moreover, these β-lactams were effective against 99% of the S. aureus strains and 80% of the coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (CNS) strains colonizing patients undergoing primary TJA. Furthermore, the gentamicin-laden bone cement used in many of these cases covers against most of the additional CNS strains.23
Question 3: What is the choice of antibiotic in patients who have pre-existing prostheses such as heart valves?

Consensus: The choice of antibiotics for patients with pre-existing prostheses such as heart valves is the same as that for routine elective arthroplasty.

Delegate Vote: Agree: 94%, Disagree: 3%, Abstain: 3% (Strong Consensus)

Justification: Patients with preexisting prostheses such as heart valves are at risk for infective endocarditis due to bacteremia, which is relatively rare but can lead to catastrophic complications and death. Guidelines for the prevention of infective endocarditis have been published by the American Heart Association (AHA) for more than 50 years. The first 9 guidelines (published between 1955 and 1997) were based on low-level evidence; only more recently have the guidelines been stratified based on lifetime risk of infective endocarditis. Similar to the change in recommendations regarding dental prophylaxis for patients undergoing TJA, the 2007 antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines for infective endocarditis from the AHA and the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) recommend antibiotic prophylaxis only for patients at the highest risk of infective endocarditis and only for selected dental procedures (eg those that involve manipulation of gingival tissue or the periapical region of teeth or perforation of the oral mucosa).24

Infections that complicate heart valve replacement and prosthetic joint replacement have several features in common. S. aureus and S. epidermidis are common pathogens and infection rates are similar.23-25 It is generally accepted that antimicrobial prophylaxis reduces the frequency of early postoperative infections; however, when such infections do occur, they are difficult to control without removing the prosthesis. The antibiotics that are recommended for endocarditis prophylaxis are similar to that of prophylaxis against PJI. Similarly, if an infection is known or suspected to be caused by S. aureus, the antibiotic regimen should contain an antistaphylococcal penicillin or a cephalosporin; whereas vancomycin should be used in those in whom an infection is known or suspected to be caused by MRSA.25 While there is literature to support the use of prophylactic antibiotics up to 48 hours postoperatively in cardiac surgery, this is to prevent deep and superficial sternal wound infection and is not relevant to our discussion of TJA surgery in a patient with a preexisting heart valve.26,27 Interestingly, there have been some studies showing an increase in the routine use of vancomycin for routine valve surgery prophylaxis over the past years. Haydon et al. reviewed the national practice patterns for antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery in Australia and found that between 2004 and 2008, there was a doubling in the proportion of cardiac units using vancomycin for routine prophylaxis from 31% to 62% (p<0.001).28
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